

ALAMO COLLEGES DISTRICT

Background

Characterizing outdoor sites is one of the first steps in environmental awareness of an area to understand its capabilities now and to create a baseline for future monitoring and remediation, if necessary. Exploring outdoors also has wide-ranging health benefits linked to better physical and mental health in addition to greater awareness of how humans may impact nature.

Two transects in an urban riparian forest were characterized for soil, water, and vegetation parameters using hands-on methods similar to what is used by industry professionals. The first area is at the headwaters of the San Antonio River. The second area is at the confluence of Olmos Creek, San Antonio River, and multiple natural springs. These areas are heavily vegetated and exist in a silty, clay floodplain where urban development has occurred. Comparison of site observations was attempted.



Figure 1 shows location of transects in San Antonio, TX, with yellow lines. Transect 1 (T1) lies perpendicular to the Blue Hole (Headwaters) and Transect 2 (T2) is downstream just past the UIW footbridge.

Analysis of the UIW Riparian Environment Edward Palomo¹, Hoang Tran², Juan Martinez² and Dr. Karen Engates³ ¹Highlands High School, ²Edison High School, ³University of the Incarnate Word MSE

Experimental Methods



Figures 2A-E show examples of methods used to characterize the two transect sites: A) riparian protocols, B) Vernier LabQuest probes, C) Hach Water Ecology Field Kit, D) LaMotte Soils Kit, E) iNaturalist app.

7:39 kengates kengates Jun 6, 2019 Texas live oak Quercus fusiformis DATA QUALITY

Figure 3 (left): Example of iNaturalist observation.

iNaturalist Identified <u>Species:</u> Quercus fusiformis (Texas live oak) Class Diplopoda millipedes) Malvaviscus arboreus (Turk's cap) Dolichandra unguis-cati (catclaw vine) Argia sp. (Dancers dragonfly) Multiple unknown identifications

Results

Test Completed

Water Temp C Water pH Water flow rate (m/s) Water conductiv Soil pH Soil potassium Soil phosphorus Soil nitrogen Water DO Water pH

Water alkalinity (HR)

Water CO₂

Table 1. Observations at Transect 1 (Headwaters) and Transect 2 (footbridge).



Rev. 5/5/07

Date (m/d/y) (24 hr)		Left B Erosi Potent (%)	Thalweg depth: ial Segment mid-points (r	Locate	(m) left to rig	rom left bank ht bank:	-	10	Right Bank Slope (°)	Right B Erosic Potent (%) 5 - 1
Riffle	Type (Circ Run	Glide	2001	Dominant Substrate Typ Silt, Pebbl	es: e	Left Bank:	Types Riparia grass, forbs, :: grass, forbs	shrubs, tr	rees, cult.		
Algae on Abunda		tes (Circle on Rare		Width of Natural BufferLB:RB:		Instream C	over Types:	at	Q .		
Station:	Ta	Left Bank	Left Bar Erosio		64 0.1 x S	tream width _ d m f		ecorder:		Right Bank	
Date (m/d/y)	TQ Time (24 hr) 3:10		a second s	kk Stream width: 334 Thalweg depth: 16 Segment mid-points (m 7,7,5,6,7,0 15,15,14,15,10	0.1 x S Locate 0) / Stream depths 0, 1 x S Locate 0, 1 x S 1,	d m f (m) left to rig	rom left bank nt bank:	-		Bank Slope (°)	Right I Eros Poter (%
Date (m/d/y)	Time (24 hr) 3:10 /pe (Circle	Bank Slope (°)	Erosion Potentia (%)	k Stream width: 334 Thalweg depth: 16 Segment mid-points (m 7,7,5,6,7,1	0.1 x S 0.1 x S Locate 0) / Stream depths 0, 1 x S 1, 1 x S	d m f (m) left to rig 11,11,12 12,23,7,0 Dominant Left Bank:	rom left bank nt bank:	, là , la in Vegeta shrubs, tr	13,12, ition: rees, cult	Bank Slope (°)	Eros Poter (%

Figure 4 shows riparian habitat protocol data observations.







1	Equipment Used	T1	Т2
	Vernier LabQuest	24.2, 24.3	24.4, 24.3, 24.3
	Vernier LabQuest	6.54, 7.00	7.16, 7.20, 6.72
		0.69, 0.7 264, 264.4	0.978, 0.97 419, 502, 484
		At least 8.0, 8.0	at least 8.0, 8.0
	LaMotte Test Kit	6 drops	2,6 drops
S	LaMotte Test Kit	Medium, medium	Low, medium
	LaMotte Test Kit	No pink visible	no pink visible
	Hach Field Kit	8,8 mg/L	8,7 mg/L
	Hach Field Kit	7.5, 7.5	7.5, 7.5
,	Hach Field Kit	15, 14 gpg CaCO ₃	16, 18 gpg CaCO ₃
	Hach Field Kit	40, 30 mg/L	30, 35 mg/L
vatio	ns at Transect 1 (H	eadwaters) and Tra	nsect 2 (footbrid

Figures 5A-H show soil testing results. A-B nitrogen; C-D potassium; E-F pH; G-H phosphorus.







Conclusions

• The pH of natural waters is 6-8.5 so both transects fall into the range of what is considered acceptable though slightly basic. • Flow rate at T2 was greater than T1 as expected due to the merging of several water sources.

 Conductivity values fell within natural water range (100-1000 uS/cm) and therefore did not indicate industrial waste present (10,000 + uS/cm).

 No confidence was given to soil nitrogen results indicating lack of nitrogen because the area is heavily vegetated and therefore much nitrogen exists. Soil phosphorus was indicative of this type of area.

 Dissolved oxygen values indicated a healthy water source for living organisms with the minimum being 5 mg/L.

• iNaturalist app was easy to use to attempt to identify organisms, but not all images were able to be identified.

Exploring the environment leads to personal, conservation, and potential remediation monitoring benefits.

References

Cibolo Nature Center Riparian Protocols CSR-4 Hach Water Quality Field Interpretation Manual LaMotte Soil Interpretation Manual San Antonio Headwaters Sanctuary (headwaters-iw.org) Google Maps (<u>https://www.google.com/maps</u>) iNaturalist app (<u>https://www.inaturalist.org/</u>) [Other online sources available at request]

Acknowledgements





