# Beyond the Monoamine Hypothesis: Unraveling the Role of Ketamine in Major Depressive Disorder



Illustration from Psychology Today, Winter 2014

Rebekah Benitez, Pharm.D. PGY-2 Pharmacotherapy Resident Controversies in Clinical Therapeutics University of the Incarnate Word Feik School of Pharmacy San Antonio, TX

October 13, 2017

Learning Objectives:

- 1. Describe the current global health burden of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and Treatment-Resistant Depression (TRD)
- 2. Discuss the role of current FDA-approved antidepressants and their clinical limitations
- 3. Analyze primary literature assessing the efficacy of ketamine in the treatment of MDD
- 4. Discuss the potential role of ketamine in the management of MDD and the potential barriers to access

# **Major Depressive Disorder**

## Background and Pathophysiology

### **Diagnostic Criteria**<sup>1</sup>

- One or more major depressive episodes without a history of a manic or hypomanic episodes
- Criteria defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)
- During the episode, five or more symptoms must be met for at least 2 weeks

1	Cable 1: DSM-5 Diagnostic Criteria for Major Depressive Disorder <sup>1,2</sup>
S	leep Disturbances
Ι	nterest deficit (anhedonia)
G	uilt
Ε	nergy deficit
С	oncentration deficit
Α	ppetite disturbance
Р	sychomotor retardation or agitation
S	uicidality

### Assessing Severity of Depression<sup>3–5</sup>

- Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)

   10 item self or clinician rated
  - Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)
    - 13 or 21 item self- rated
- Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D)
  - o 17 or 21 item clinician-rated
- Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology-Self Report (QIDS-SR)
  - 0 16 self-report or clinician rated

### Figure 1: History of Advancements in Depression<sup>6-12</sup>





### 1977 FDA Guidelines for Antidepressant Drug Approval<sup>12,16</sup>

- Do not provide incentives for achieving greater levels of efficacy or targeting novel mechanistic pathways
- Response defined as  $\geq$  50% reduction in HAM-D, remission defined as a HAM-D score  $\leq$  7
- No specification of trial duration, assessment scales, or level of improvement needed to demonstrate efficacy
- Recent antidepressant approvals focused on safety improvements (enantiomers or various salt formulations)

### Figure 4: Medications FDA Approved for Treatment of Depression

# 5HT

#### Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRI)

- Citalopram
- Escitalopram
- Sertraline
- Fluoxetine
- Paroxetine

#### **Atypical Antidepressants**

- Mirtazapine
- Vortioxetine
- Vilazodone
- Trazodone

#### Serotonin Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors (SNRI)

- Venlafaxine
- Desvenlafaxine
- Duloxetine
- Levomilnacipran

#### Tricyclic Antidepressants

Amitriptyline, nortriptyline, doxepin, imipramine, desipramine

### 5HT + NE + DA

#### Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors (MAOIs)

- Isocarboxazid
- Phenelzine
- Selegiline
- Tranylcypromine

#### Norepinephrine-Dopamine Reuptake Inhibitor

Bupropion



### Efficacy of Antidepressants: Understanding the STAR\*D Trial<sup>18</sup>

- 3,671 patients with major depressive disorder seeking acute treatment
- Treated in successive steps, moving on to the next step if remission was not achieved (QIDS-SR  $\leq$  5)



# **Treatment Resistant Depression**

## **Treatment Options and Limitations**

### Definition of Treatment Resistant Depression<sup>19,20</sup>

- No universally accepted definition
- Frequently defined as failure to achieve remission following  $\geq 2$  antidepressant trials
- Trials must be of adequate duration to assess response and adequate dose

### **Prevalence and Epidemiology**<sup>19,21</sup>

- WHO estimates 300 million people in the world with MDD
- Less than 50% of those with MDD are receiving treatment
- Estimated that around 20-40% of those receiving treatment are treatment resistant

### **Risk Factors for Treatment Resistant Depression**<sup>22–25</sup>



### National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Constructs of Depression<sup>26</sup>

- Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) is a research framework being prioritized by NIMH
- Research focus on physiologic constructs, grouped into domains of human behavior or functioning
- Those related to depression include anhedonia, negative and positive valence, cognition, working memory, and social processing

### **Global Impact of Treatment Resistant Depression**<sup>20,21,27</sup>

Disease Burden	<ul> <li>Depression is the WHO leading cause of disability</li> <li>Twice as likely to be hospitalized</li> <li>Loss of 1 million quality-adjusted years in the U.S.</li> </ul>
Economic Burden	<ul> <li>Annual direct and indirect costs per patient of 20,120 dollars</li> <li>Total societal cost in the U.S. of 29 to 48 billion dollars per year</li> </ul>
Suicide Risk	<ul> <li>About 800,000 deaths from suicide each year</li> <li>More then 90% had a diagnosed mental illness</li> <li>Second leading cause of death in 15-29 year-olds.</li> </ul>

### **Treatment Strategies for Resistant Depression**<sup>19,20</sup>

Table 2: Treatment Strategies for Managing Resistant Depression								
Strategy	Therapeutic Options							
Optimize Antidepressant	<ul><li>Increase dose</li><li>Treat for adequate duration</li></ul>							
Switch Antidepressants	<ul><li>Same or different class</li><li>MAOIs and TCAs</li></ul>							
Augmentation	• Lithium, thyroid hormones, atypical antipsychotics (aripiprazole, quetiapine, olanzapine), anticonvulsants, mood stabilizers, buspirone							
Other Treatments	• ECT, vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), direct brain stimulation (DBS)							

### Rates of Remission among Patients with Treatment Resistant Depression<sup>19,28</sup>

### **Optimizing and Switching**

- Some estimates that as few as 11% of patients receive an adequate dose and trial of antidepressant therapy
- Switching classes may result in improvements in response of up to 70%

#### **Augmentation Strategies**

- Lithium: 30-65% response rates in TRD
- Fluoxetine + olanzapine: 40% improvement compared to 30% with fluoxetine alone
- Triiodothyronine (T3): about 25% remission rates in STAR\*D

#### **Electroconvulsive Therapy**

- Generally considered to be the most effective option in TRD
- Response rates around 50-70%
- Relapse rates have been shown to be significantly higher following a successful ECT course

### About 30% of patients with TRD do not respond to any treatment

# Ketamine

## Medication Background and Uses

### The Diversity of Ketamine use in Healthcare<sup>29–31</sup>

Anesthetic and Analgesic	<ul> <li>Developed in the 1960's; approved for human use in 1970</li> <li>Lacks suppression of respiratory and cardiac function at therapeutic doses         <ul> <li>Battle field anesthetic in the Vietnam War</li> <li>Low-income countries where respiratory support is hard to obtain</li> <li>Veterinary medicine</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Drug of Abuse	<ul> <li>Schedule III non-narcotic controlled substance under the DEA, not internationally controlled by the WHO</li> <li>Abused for dissociative and hallucinogenic effects</li> <li>Use is most common among teens and young adults as a "club drug"</li> <li>Used to facilitate sexual assault</li> </ul>
Novel Anti- depressant	<ul> <li>Studies have evaluated the role of ketamine in MDD</li> <li>Investigated single-dose infusions, multi-dose infusion, and augmentation</li> <li>Route of administration: IV infusions and intranasal (bioavailability of 35-50%)</li> <li>Dosing: Low dose (sub-anesthetic) infusions <ul> <li>MDD dose of 0.5 mg/kg vs. anesthesia induction dose of 1-4.5 mg/kg</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Mechanism of Action of Ketamine<sup>32,33</sup>



# Single Dose Administration

# Acute Reduction of Depressive Symptoms

Table 3: MurrAntidepressant e	ough et al (201) fficacy of ketamin	<b>3)</b> <sup>34</sup> le in treatr	nent-resistant ma	jor depression: a	ı two-site ran	domized controlled	trial.	
Objective	To evaluate the	antidepres	ssant effects of a s	ingle ketamine i	nfusion in pat	ients with TRD		
,	<u> </u>	1	Met	hods	1			
Design	Two-site, rando	mized, do	uble blind, place	bo controlled (N	J= 73)			
	Inclusion crit	<u>eria</u>		Exclusion criteria				
Detternt	• Age 21-80			• History of psychotic illness or bipolar disorder				
Selection	• DSM-IV MDI	D diagnost	ic criteria	• Alcohol or substance abuse within 2 years				
Selection	• Inadequate re	sponse $\geq 3$	6	• Serious suicidal or homicidal risk				
	antidepressant	t trials		• Score < 27 of	n Mini-Menta	al State Examination	(MMSE)	
	• Ketamine: 0.5	5 mg/kg IV	V infused over 40	minutes				
Intervention	• Midazolam: (	).045 mg/	kg IV infused ove	er 40 minutes				
	• Free of antide	pressants f	for at least 1 wee	k prior to interve	ention (4 wee	ks for fluoxetine)		
	Primary Endp	<u>ooint</u>	<u>Sec</u>	<u>condary Endpo</u>	<u>oints</u>			
	• Reduction in o	depression	• N	IADRS response	rate (50% re	duction in baseline s	core)	
Outcomes	24 hours after (MADPS)	administr	• C	hange in QIDS a	nd CGI score	S		
	(MADKS)		• D	Durability of benefit up to 7 days				
			• A	dverse effects an	d dissociative	state at regular inte	rvals	
	<ul> <li>Modified inter</li> </ul>	ntion to tr	eat					
Statistical	• Effect size estimates of MADRS response rates of 60% for ketamine vs. 15% for midazolam							
Analysis	$\bullet$ 72 for 80% and 96% power to detect MADRS changes of ketamine and midazolam, respectively							
	• General linear	· modeling	and logistic regr	ession, two-side	d alpha of 0.0	5		
			Re	esults				
	Demographi	CS	Depression	History		Baseline Severi	ity	
	Age	45.5	Duration of M	DD (years)	21.4	MADRS	32.1	
<b>D</b> 1'	Female	51.4%	Recurrent MD	D	61.1%	IDS-30	48	
Baseline	gender	00.00/	Index episode	$\geq$ 2 years	68.1%	QIDS-16	16.5	
	Caucasian	83.3%	Episode durati	on (years)	11.2	Treatment Res	istance	
	Unemployed	58.3%	Prior suicide attempt 31.9%			Previous trials	5.1	
	Primary Outo	omer (h	Thor nospital	dagalam)	3070			
	Primary Outc	<u>ome:</u> (Ke	etamine vs. mic	azolam)				
	• MADK5 score	e at 24 not	Irs: 14.77 vs. 22	$.72 (p \le 0.001)$				
	Secondary Ou	itcomes:						
Study	At 24 hours:							
Outcomes	<ul> <li>MADRS treat</li> </ul>	ment resp	onse: 64% vs. 28	% (p $\leq 0.006$ ) N	NNT=3			
	• QIDS and CG	I scores w	ere significantly i	mproved				
	• MADRS score	es collanse	d across time 14	5.93 vs. 23 19 (n	< 0.002			
	After adjustm	ent for site	e and baseline sco	res no significar	_ 0.002)	at 7 days		
	• After adjustment for site and baseline scores, no significant difference at 7 days							

	Adverse Events:						
	• 17% receiving ketamine had significant dissociative symptoms; resolved by 2 hours post-infusion						
No severe psychotic symptoms occurred in any patient							
	Transient blood pressure increases, hemodynamic changes required cessation in 2 patients						
	Critique						
Strengths	• Largest single-infusion trial to date						
suchguis	• Use of midazolam as an active placebo to enhance blinding						
	• Exclusion criteria limit applicability in practice (eg. substance abuse, psychotic symptoms)						
Limitations	• Designed for patients able to tolerate a medication washout period (1 suicide attempts in trial)						
	• Does not include most recent antidepressant regimen or other medications						
	Take Away Summary						
In patients with and increased re	In patients with TRD after a washout of antidepressant therapy, low dose IV ketamine significantly reduced MADRS scores and increased response 24 hours. Adverse effects included transient dissociative symptoms and increased blood pressure.						

## Other Trials Analyzing Single Dose Administrations of Ketamine

Table 4: 7	Table 4: Trials Assessing Symptom Reduction Following a Single Infusion									
Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes							
Berman et al. <sup>35</sup> (2000)	<ul> <li>Double blind, randomized, crossover (N=7)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD (DSM-IV)</li> <li>Exclusion: axis 1 disorder (eg. mood, psychotic), recent alcohol or substance abuse diagnosis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Antidepressant free ≥ 2 weeks</li> <li>Ketamine IV</li> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significantly reduced HAM-D scores for 72 hours (mean 14 point decrease)</li> <li>50% achieved response</li> </ul>							
Zarate et al. <sup>36</sup> (2006)	<ul> <li>Double blind, randomized, crossover (N=18)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 2 antidepressant trials (average of 5.7), HAM-D score ≥ 18</li> <li>Exclusion: psychotic features, bipolar disorder, substance abuse or dependence within 3 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Antidepressant free ≥ 2 weeks</li> <li>Ketamine IV</li> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significantly reduced HAM-D scores within 110 min maintained up to 7 days</li> <li>71% achieved response</li> <li>29% achieved remission</li> </ul>							
Lapidus et al. <sup>37</sup> (2014)	<ul> <li>Double blind, randomized, crossover (N=18)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 1 (average of 4.1) antidepressant trials, IDS-C score ≥ 30</li> <li>Exclusion: psychotic features, bipolar disorder, substance abuse or dependence within 6 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ketamine <u>intranasal</u> 50 mg over 20 min</li> <li>Placebo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significantly reduced MADRS scores within 40 minutes, maintained up to 48 hours</li> <li>44% achieved response</li> </ul>							
Mathew et al. <sup>38</sup> (2010)	<ul> <li>Open label (N=26)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 2 antidepressant trials (average of 6), IDS-C score ≥ 32</li> <li>Exclusion: psychotic or bipolar disorder, substance abuse or dependence within 6 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Antidepressant free ≥ 2 weeks</li> <li>Ketamine IV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significantly reduced MADRS scores within 4 hours maintained up to 72 hours</li> <li>65% achieved response</li> <li>50% achieved remission</li> </ul>							
Ibrahim et al. <sup>39</sup> (2012)	<ul> <li>Open label (N=42)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 2 antidepressant trials (average of 7.4), current episode ≥ 4 weeks, MADRS score ≥ 22</li> <li>Exclusion: psychotic features, drug induced hypomania or mania, substance abuse or dependence within 3 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Antidepressant free ≥ 2 weeks</li> <li>Ketamine IV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significantly reduced MADRS scores within 240 minutes maintained up to 28 days</li> <li>62% achieved response</li> </ul>							

\*All ketamine IV doses were 0.5 mg/kg administered over 40 minutes

# **Multiple Dose Administrations**

# Extended Reduction of Depressive Symptoms

Table 5: Singh et al (2016)40A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Dose-Frequency Study of Intravenous Ketamine in Patients With								
Treatment-Resistant Depression.								
Objective	<b>Objective</b> To evaluate the antidepressant effects of multiple ketamine infusions administered twice or thrice							
,	weekly in patients with TR	D	16 (1	1				
Design								
Design	Inclusion critorio	double bil	nd, piac	$\frac{1}{1}$	57)			
	<u>Inclusion criteria</u>			Exclusion criteria				
	• Age 18-64			• History of psychotic disorders, bipolar disorder, and				
Patient	• DSM-IV MDD diagnostic	c criteria		Alashal ar substand	a abusa within 1 year			
Selection	<ul> <li>Inadequate response ≥ 2</li> <li>frila 1 frilana in manual</li> </ul>	antidepres	sant	Alconol of substance     Samiana and all an h	e abuse within 1 year			
		t episode		• Serious suicidal or in	IOIIIICIUAI FISK			
	• Score $\geq$ 34 on IDS-CR		10		1	1		
Intervention	• Ketamine: 0.5 mg/kg IV	infused ov	er 40 n	ninutes: given two or	three times weekly for 4 we	eks		
	• Placebo: given two or thi	ree times v	veekly f	or 4 weeks				
	Primary Endpoint		<u>Seco</u>	<u>ndary Endpoints</u>				
	• Reduction in depression severity			ly onset response main	itained through day 15 (MAI	ORS)		
Outcomes	at 15 days (MADRS)			nission at day 15 (MAI	DRS)			
				<ul> <li>Change in MADRS scores from baseline to day 29</li> </ul>				
			• Adv	verse effects and dissoc	iative state at regular interva	ıls		
Statistical	• Intention to treat							
Analysis	• 14 patients per group for 90% power (56 total), two-sample t test, one-sided alpha of 0.15							
111111/010	• Mixed-effect model with repeated measures for primary endpoint							
			Rest	ults				
	Demographics Depress			story	<b>Baseline Severity</b>			
	Age 43.9	# of Anti	-	53.7% (1),	MADRS	35.3		
Baseline	Female 67.2%	depressar	nts in	31.3% (2), 7.5%	CGI-S (moderately ill)	31.3%		
Dusenne	gender 07.270	current e	pisode	(3), 7.5% (≥4)	CGI-S (markedly ill)	59.7%		
	Caucasian 79.1%	Anxious		28.4%	CGI-S (severely ill)	9%		
		depressio	n					
	Primary Outcome: (ke	tamine vs	. place	ebo)				
	• MADRS score at 15 days: significantly lower for both frequencies, but no difference between them							
	<ul> <li>Twice weekly: -</li> </ul>	-18.4 vs5	5.7 ( p <del>1</del>	$\leq 0.001$ ); thrice weekl	y -17.7 vs3.1 ( $p \le 0.001$ )	)		
	Secondary Outcomes:	significantl	y impro	oved with ketamine, n	o difference between freque	ncy		
Study	groups, overall frequencies	listed belo	w:					
Outcomes	• MADRS response in wee	k 1 mainta	ined th	rough day 15 (31% vs.	3.4%)			
	• MADRS response at day	15 (62.1%	vs. 10.	3%) and remission at (	day 15 (31% vs. 3.4%)			
	• MADRS score chance from baseline to day 29 (-22 2 vs3.8)							
	CGI-I and PGI-C scores significantly improved from baseline							

	Adverse Events:					
Higher in ketamine groups, most commonly headache, anxiety, dissociation, nausea, and Dissociation occurred in 17.1% and resolved 3 hours post-infusion. Intensity reduced w dosing (CADSS mean score of 7.5 on day 1 vs. 3.9 on day 15), 3 required discontinuation of the second statement of t						
	Mean changes in heart rate and blood pressure were within normal limits for all groups					
	Critique					
	• Largest multiple-infusion trial to date, only randomized placebo controlled trial					
Strengths	• Did not require antidepressant washout phase					
	Assessed multiple dosing frequencies					
	• Exclusion criteria limit applicability in practice (eg. substance abuse, psychotic symptoms)					
Limitations	• Limited baseline characteristics provided (MDD history, suicide attempts, hospitalizations, etc.)					
	• No use of an active placebo					
	Take Away Summary					
In patients with	TRD on stable antidepressant therapy, low dose IV ketamine significantly reduced MADRS scores up to 29					
days and increas	ed response and remission rates at 15 days. Adverse effects included transient, dissociative effects.					

### Other Trials Analyzing Multiple Dose Administrations of Ketamine

Table 6: Trials Assessing Symptom Reduction Following Multiple Infusions							
Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes				
aan het Rot et al. <sup>41</sup> (2010)	<ul> <li>Open label (N=10)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 2 antidepressant trials (average of 8.2), IDS-C score ≥ 32, response to single open label ketamine dose</li> <li>Exclusion: psychotic features, mania or hypomania, substance abuse or dependence within 3 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Antidepressant free ≥ 2 weeks</li> <li>Ketamine IV x 1</li> <li>If response at 24 hours, 5 additional doses for 12 days</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>90% achieved response at 24 hours, maintained through all infusions</li> <li>Mean MADRS score reduction was 85% after 6<sup>th</sup> infusion</li> <li>Average time to recurrence 19 days from last dose (range:6 to &gt; 90 days)</li> </ul>				
Murrough et al. <sup>42</sup> (2013)	<ul> <li>Open label (N=24),</li> <li>Extension of ann het Rot et al.that did not require response to a single open label ketamine dose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Antidepressant free ≥ 2 weeks</li> <li>Ketamine IV 6 infusions over 12 days</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significantly reduced MADRS scores within 2 hours, maintained 12 days</li> <li>70% response following last infusion</li> <li>Median time to recurrence 18 days, 4 with no recurrence by day 83</li> </ul>				
Diamond et al. <sup>43</sup> (2014)	<ul> <li>Open label , exploratory (N=28)</li> <li>Inclusion: Bipolar (N-6) or unipolar (N=22) depression (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 2 antidepressant trials</li> <li>Exclusion: schizophrenia, dementia or mild cognitive impairment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ketamine IV once a week for 3 weeks (N=15)</li> <li>Ketamine IV twice a week for 3 weeks (N=13)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>33% of completers achieved response based on BDI scores at 21 days</li> <li>50% of responders achieved remission</li> <li>Median length of response of 70 days, range of 25 to 168.</li> </ul>				
Rasmussen et al. <sup>44</sup> (2013)	<ul> <li>Open label (N=10)</li> <li>Inclusion: MDD or bipolar II disorder (DSM-IV), fail ≥ 2 antidepressant trials</li> <li>Exclusion: psychotic or bipolar I disorder, substance or alcohol abuse within 12 months, dementia</li> </ul>	• Ketamine IV over 100-minutes, twice weekly until remission (up to 4 doses)	<ul> <li>50% MADRS remission (20% with 1 infusion, 60% with 2 , 20% with 4)</li> <li>40% sustained remission 4 weeks</li> <li>80% achieved response (37.5% after 1 infusion, 62.5% after 2)</li> </ul>				

\*All ketamine IV doses were 0.5 mg/kg administered over 40 minutes unless otherwise specified

# Ketamine and Electroconvulsive Therapy

## Head-to-head Comparison and Augmentation Strategies

Direct Comparison of Ketamine to ECT

Table 7: Ghase Bapid antidepre	emi et al. (20)	14) <sup>45</sup> of repeated	de	oses of ketamine	compared w	vith elect	roconvulsive therapy	in host	nitalized
nation and entressing energy in nospitalized									
Objective	<b>Objective</b> To evaluate the antidepressant effects of 3 ketamine IV infusions compared to 3 sessions of ECT in patients currently in an major depressive episode								
	Methods								
Design	Single-center	, randomiz	ed,	single-blinded (N	N= 18)				
	Inclusion c	riteria			Exclusion	criteria	riteria		
	• Age 18-75				• History of psychotic disorder, manic or hypo-manic				
Selection	• DSM-IV MDD diagnostic criteria				episode, dementia, mental retardation				
Selection	• Currently e	experiencir	ig ai	n episode and	• Substance	e depende	nce		
	scheduled t	to receive I	ECT		• Serious m	redical con	nditions		
Intervention	• Ketamine:	3 doses of	0.5	mg/kg IV infused	over 45 min	utes ever	y 48 hours		
Intervention	• ECT: 3 ses	ssions of bil	ate	ral ECT every 48	hours				
	Primary En	<u>dpoint</u>		Secondary En	<u>dpoints</u>				
Outcomos	• Reduction	in depressi	on	• Change from	baseline follo	wing eacl	n session or dose		
Outcomes	severity based on BDI • Changes from				1 baseline 72 hours and 1 week after the last session or dose				
	and HAM-	D scores		• Response rate	es for each of	the above	time intervals		
Statistical	• Mixed-desi	gn analysis	of	variance model for	r repeated me	easures			
Analysis	• Independer	nt t-tests fo	r di	fferences in depre	ession scores,	with alph	a < 0.05		
				Resu	ılts				
	Demographics Depression			Depression His	tory		Baseline Severity	y	
	Age	37.6		Age of onset		29.4	BDI		38.6
	Female	55.6%		Length of current	episode	9	HAM-D		33.1
	gender			(weeks) Time in heavital h	ofore study				
Baseline	(vears)	9.2		initiation (days)	elore study	12.9	Current Medica	tions	
	() curs)			Total number of			55KI TCA	22 3	/%0 20/
			]	hospitalizations		2.1	Antipsychotic	27.8	20/0
				Suicide attempts		1.1	Anticonvulsant	22.2	2%
							Benzodiazepine	61.1	%
	Primary Ou	itcome: (	ket	amine vs. ECT)					
	• Both ECT a	and ketami	ne s	ignificantly impro	ved BDI and	HAM-D	scores from baseline to	o 1 weel	k post
	treatment								
Study	• BDI and HAM-D scores in ketamine group significantly reduced following: the first and second								
Outcomes	treatment, and 7 hours post-treatment compared to ECT								
	• First treatment: BDI (20.22 vs. 36.5); HAM-D (16.88 vs. 31.44)								
	• Sec	cond treatr	nen	t: $BDI(18.22 \text{ vs.})$	31.3); HAM	-D (15.5) ). цам і	5  vs.  24.55)		
	• 72 hours post-treatment: BDI (11.88 vs. 20.11); HAM-D (10.11 vs. 16.77)								

• Scores did not significantly differ following the third treatment or 1 week post-treatment.						
	Secondary Outcomes: Percentage of patients meeting response criteria:					
		Ketamine ECT based on Ketamine based E				
		based on BDI	BDI	on HAM-D	HAM-D	
	First treatment	44.4%	11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	
	Second treatment	55.6%	11.1%	77.8%	22.2%	
	Third treatment	77.8%	44.4%	88.9%	66.7%	
	72 hours after	77.8%	44.4%	100%	88.9%	
	1 week after	77.8%	77.8%	100%	88.9%	
	Adverse Events:					
	<ul> <li>Both treatments well</li> </ul>	l tolerated no signi	ficant change in her	modynamic narameter	s in either group	
	Townowarily in groups	ad avatalia blood pr	agains and beaut not	to in 2 notionts often th	s in critici group	
	• I emporarily increased systolic blood pressure and heart rate in 3 patients after the second and third					
		Crit	iany significant			
	<u>^ 1 1 1 1 1</u>		ique			
	• Only head-to-head con	parison of ECT and	d ketamine			
Strengths	• Did not require antidepressant washout phase					
	• Reported current medications					
	• Exclusion criteria limit applicability in practice (eg. substance abuse, psychotic symptoms)					
<b>.</b> ,	• Patients unable to be b!	linded in this compa	arison			
Limitations	• Titration method for E	CT and use of thior	vental as an anesthe	tic could result in slow	ver onset of action	
for ECT treatments					of onset of action	
Take Away Summany						
In patients with I	MDD Indicated for ECT u	eatment, low dose	i v ketannne signing	antly reduced by an	I HAM-D SCOLES	

## Meta-analyses of Ketamine Augmentation with ECT

Table 8: Meta-analyses of Ketamine use as an Augmentation Strategy to Facilitate ECT					
Study	Population	Intervention	Outcomes		
Fond et al. <sup>46</sup> (2014)	<ul> <li>4 trials (118 patients)</li> <li>Search inclusion: randomized controlled trials evaluating ketamine in ECT, diagnosis of major depression or bipolar depression (DSM IV)</li> <li>Population: 87% MDD, 13% bipolar disorder</li> </ul>	• Ketamine doses ranged from 0.4 mg/kg to 0.8 mg/kg	<ul> <li>Ketamine use in ECT significantly improved depression scores compared to patients receiving thiopental or propofol</li> <li>Driven by 1 of the 4 trials that found a significant improvements with ketamine</li> </ul>		
McGirr et al. <sup>47</sup> (2015)	<ul> <li>5 trials (182 patients)</li> <li>Search inclusion: randomized controlled trials evaluating ketamine in ECT, diagnosis of major depression or bipolar depression (DSM IV)</li> <li>Population: 90.7% MDD, 9.3% bipolar disorder</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ketamine doses ranged from 0.4 mg/kg to 1-2 mg/kg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ketamine use in ECT did not significantly improve clinical remission, response, or depressive symptoms</li> <li>Augmentation was associated with significantly higher rates of confusion, disorientation, and prolonged delirium</li> </ul>		

# **Applications of Ketamine**

# Antidepressant Augmentation and Other Potential Uses

### Single Ketamine Infusion Prior to Antidepressant Initiation

Table 9: Hu et al. (2016) <sup>48</sup> Single IV ketamine augmentation of newly initiated escitalopram for major depression							
<b>Objective</b> To evaluate the antidepressant effects of a ketamine augmentation strategy with escitalopram							
Methods							
Design	Single-center, randor	nized, double-b	blind (N= $30$ )				
Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria							
Patient	• Age 18-60		• History of psychotic, bipolar, or any other axis 1 disorder				
Selection	• DSM-IV MDD diag	nostic	• Lifetime history of substance dependence				
	• Severe MDD (HAM	1-D≥24)	• History of inefficacy	or intolerar	nce to escitalopram		
	• Ketamine: single k	etamine infusior	n of 0.5 mg/kg_followe	d by escitale	pram 10 mg po daily		
Intervention	• Placebo: single sali	ne infusion follo	owed by escitalopram 10	) mg po dail <sup>,</sup>	y Cr I		
	• Free of antidepress	ants for at least 2	2 weeks prior to interve	ntion (4 we	eks for fluoxetine)		
			Results		,		
	Demographics	Depression History			Baseline Severity		
	Age 39	Age of onset	,	34.4	History of Suicide	11.10/	
Baseline	Female (20)	Length of cur	rent episode (months)	5.1	Attempts	11.1%	
	gender 63%	Number of de	epressive episodes	3.9	MADRS	34.3	
		$\geq$ 2 failed antidepressant trials 5		55.6%	QIDS-SR	17.1	
	Primary Outcome	(ketamine v	s. placebo)				
	• Time to response was significantly shorter with ketamine: 6.4 days vs. 26.5 days ( $p < 0.001$ )						
	• By 4 hours post infusion 53.8% in ketamine group achieved response vs. 0 with placebo						
	<u>Secondary Outcomes:</u>						
	• Time to remission was significantly shorter with ketamine: 14 days versus 27 days (p=0.001)						
Study	• By 72 hours post infusion 38.5% in ketamine group achieved remission vs. 0 with placebo						
Outcomes	• Response rates higher with ketamine by 4 weeks: $92.3\%$ vs. $57.1\%$ (p=0.04) NN1 = 3						
	• Remission rates higher with ketamine by 4 weeks: $76.9\%$ vs. 14.3% (p=0.001) NNT = 2						
	• Ketamine group had significantly lower MADRS and QIDS-SR scores from 2 hours to 2 weeks						
	• Ketamine group had significantly higher response rates for TRD: 88.9% vs. 33.3% (p=0.02)						
	• Kotaming had significantly higher mild and transient adverse effects, resolving by 24 hours a set						
	• Retaining had significantly higher find and transfert adverse effects, resolving by 2+ hours post- infusion, with many resolving within 40 minutes post-infusion						
Critique							
	• Only study looking	at a single ketar	nine infusion for augme	ntation of co	onventional therapy		
Strengths	• Only about half of the patients included were classified as TRD						
	• Exclusion criteria limit applicability in practice (eg. substance abuse, psychotic symptoms)						
Limitations Required a washout phase from current therapy							
Take Away Summary							
In patients with MDD initiating escitalopram therapy, a single ketamine infusion significantly increased response and							
remission rates and significantly shortened time to response and remission.							

### Reductions in NIMH Symptom Clusters and Suicidal Ideation<sup>35,41–44,48–54</sup>

### **Major Depressive Disorder**

### <u>Anhedonia</u>

### **Definition:**

• Loss of interest or pleasure

#### Importance:

- Difficult to treat and not adequately addressed by current antidepressants
- Predictor of poor response

### **Effects of Ketamine**

- Lally et al. found anhedonia was significantly reduced 40 minutes after a single infusion and maintained for 3 days.
- Murrough et al. found anhedonia was significantly improved within 2 hours of the first dose of ketamine

## **Cognition**

### **Definition:**

• Mental process of comprehension, judgment, memory, and reasoning

#### **Importance:**

- Difficult to treat
- Deficits can persist despite full or partial remission

### **Effects of Ketamine**

- Murrough et al. found cognition was significantly improved within 2 hours of the first dose of ketamine
- Diamond et al. found improved memory testing following multiple ketamine infusions

## <u>Suicidal Ideation</u>

### **Definition:**

• Thoughts of suicide

#### Importance:

- High risk of mortality, not rapidly addressed by current antidepressants
- Predictor of poor response

### **Effects of Ketamine**

- 4 trials of single-dose infusions have shown significant reductions in SI as early as 40 minutes postinfusion (N=127)
- 4 trials of multi-dose infusions have shown significant reductions in SI as early as 2 hours postinfusion (N=72)

### Other Potential Applications for Ketamine in Depression<sup>55,56</sup>

### Hospice and End of Life Care

### Irwin et al. (2013)

- 28 day open-label trial looking at 14 hospice patients with symptoms of depression alone or depression plus anxiety
- Daily 0.5 mg/kg oral doses of ketamine significantly reduced both anxiety and depression scores (using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) by ≥ 30% in all patients who completed the study
- Anxiety scores were significantly reduced by day 3, depression scores were significantly reduced by day 14

### Surgery Anesthetic in MDD

### Kudoh et al. (2002)

- Randomized trial looking at 70 patients with MDD undergoing orthopedic surgery
- All patients were induced with propofol and fentanyl, and patients were randomized to receive an additional 1 mg/kg infusion of ketamine
- Ketamine was associated with significantly reduced HAM-D scores at 1 day post-op.
- Ketamine also had significantly improved postoperative pain, depressed mood, suicidal tendencies, and anxiety.

# **Limitations of Ketamine**

## The Controversy of Approving Ketamine for MDD

## Safety<sup>57,58</sup>

- Ketamine Infusions
  - Adverse effects are transient, occurring during and immediately following infusions
  - Most common adverse effects include drowsiness, dizziness, poor coordination, blurred vision, and strange or unreal feelings
  - Estimated that about one third of patients experience transient hemodynamic changes (eg. tachycardia, hypertension)
- Chronic use
  - No trials looking at adverse effects of long term ketamine use in depression
  - Based on findings from ketamine abusers and chronic use in pain management, potential concerns of chronic use include hepatotoxicity, worsening of underlying cardiovascular disease, urological symptoms including cystitis, neurotoxicity and memory impairment

**Cost**<sup>59,60</sup>

- Estimated that over 60 private clinics provide ketamine infusions in the U.S.
- Administration requires continuous monitoring for transient effects
- The majority of ketamine infusion clinics are run by anesthesiologists

### Cost of Ketamine

- Most commonly used dose = 0.5 mg/kg
- Cost of single dose for 70 kg patient = \$3.78
- Cost of 6 doses = \$22.68

Cost of Ketamine Infusion in Clinic

- Cost per infusion = \$400 \$2000
- Cost per 6 infusions = \$2,400 \$12,000
- Payment is out of pocket

### Administration and Efficacy

- No trial data on long-term efficacy of ketamine infusions for MDD
- Most trials required that patients be taken off current antidepressant therapy
- Optimal number of ketamine infusions and frequency of infusions is unclear
- Limited data on novel routes of administration (eg. nasal, oral)
- Generic and inexpensive, unlikely that pharmaceutical companies will ever fund large studies

### Abuse Risk<sup>61–64</sup>

- Around 8% of the general population has a substance use disorder; 33% of the MDD population
- Substance disorders associated with higher rates of suicide, impairment, and other psychiatric conditions
- Alcohol is the most commonly abused substance among patients with MDD
- Prevalence of lifetime ketamine use in the United States in 2006 estimated around 0.1%

# **Conclusion and Recommendations**

## Summarizing the Role of Ketamine in MDD





Summary of the Potential Role of Ketamine in MDD



# References

- 1. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 2. Carlat DJ. The Psychiatric Review of Symptoms: A Screening Tool for Family Physicians. *Am Fam Physician*. 1998;58(7):1617.
- 3. Kerr LK, Kerr LD. Screening tools for depression in primary care. West J Med. 2001;175(5):349-352.
- 4. Anderson JE, Michalak EE, Raymond WL. Depression in primary care: Tools for screening, diagnosis, and measuring response to treatment. *BCMJ*. 2002;44(8):415-419.
- Beinenfeld D. Screening Tests for Depression: Overview, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, Beck Depression Inventory. March 2017. http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1859039-overview#a2. Updated January 27, 2016. Accessed July 31, 2017.
- 6. A Brief History of Psychiatry. Psychology Today. http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/hide-and-seek/201206/brief-history-psychiatry. Accessed May 17, 2017.
- The Science and History of Treating Depression The New York Times. http://www.nytimes.com/ 2012/04/22/magazine/the-science-and-history-of-treating-depression. Accessed May 17, 2017.
- 8. A Brief History of ECT U-M Department of Psychiatry. www.psych.med.umich.edu/ect/history. Accessed May 17, 2017.
- 9. The History of Shock Therapy in Psychiatry. www.cerebromente.org.br/n04/historia/shock\_i.htm. Accessed May 17, 2017.
- History of the Treatment of Depression. www.macalester.edu/academics/psychology/whathap/ ubnrp/depression05/history. Accessed May 17, 2017.
- 11. Hirschfeld RM. History and evolution of the monoamine hypothesis of depression. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2000;61 Suppl 6:4-6.
- 12. Hanrahan C, New JP. Antidepressant medications: The FDA-approval process and the need for updates. Ment Health Clin. 2014;4(1):11-16.
- Major Depressive Disorder. Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach, 10e. AccessPharmacy, McGraw-Hill Medical. http://accesspharmacy.mhmedical.com.uiwtx.idm.oclc.org. Accessed July 24, 2017.
- 14. Duman RS, Li N. A neurotrophic hypothesis of depression: role of synaptogenesis in the actions of NMDA receptor antagonists. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci.* 2012;367(1601):2475-2484.
- 15. Groves JO. Is it time to reassess the BDNF hypothesis of depression? Mol Psychiatry. 2007;12(12):1079-1088.
- 16. US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Guidance for Industry: Guidelines for the clinical evaluation of antidepressant drugs. Rockville (MD). www.fda.gov/ downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm071299.pdf. Published 1977. Accessed August 10, 2017.
- 17. Gelenberg AJ, Freeman MP, Markowitz JC, et al. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder third edition. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167(10):1.
- 18. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR\* D report. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006;163(11):1905–1917.
- 19. McIntyre RS, Filteau M-J, Martin L, et al. Treatment-resistant depression: definitions, review of the evidence, and algorithmic approach. J Affect Disord. 2014;156:1-7.
- 20. Mrazek DA, Hornberger JC, Altar CA, Degtiar I. A Review of the Clinical, Economic, and Societal Burden of Treatment-Resistant Depression: 1996–2013. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(8):977-987.
- World Health Organization. Depression. www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs369/en/. Accessed July 31, 2017.
- 22. Bennabi D, Aouizerate B, El-Hage W, et al. Risk factors for treatment resistance in unipolar depression: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;171:137-141.
- 23. Vrieze E, Pizzagalli DA, Demyttenaere K, et al. Reduced Reward Learning predicts outcome in Major Depressive Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;73(7):639-645.

- 24. Spijker J, Bijl RV, de Graaf R, Nolen WA. Determinants of poor 1-year outcome of DSM-III-R major depression in the general population: results of the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2001;103(2):122-130.
- 25. McMakin DL, Olino TM, Porta G, et al. Anhedonia predicts poorer recovery among youth with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment-resistant depression. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012;51(4):404-411.
- 26. NIMH » Research Domain Criteria (RDoC). https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/index.shtml. Accessed August 10, 2017.
- 27. UW School of Social Work. Facts About Mental Illness and Suicide. http://depts.washington.edu/ mhreport/facts\_suicide.php. Accessed August 12, 2017.
- 28. Ionescu DF, Rosenbaum JF, Alpert JE. Pharmacological approaches to the challenge of treatment-resistant depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2015;17(2):111-126.
- Expert Committee on Drug Dependence Thirty-seventh Meeting. Ketamine (INN) Update Review Report. Agenda item 6.1. www.who.int/medicines/access/controlled-substances/6\_1\_ Ketamine\_Update\_Review.pdf. Published Geneva 2015. Accessed July 31, 2017.
- 30. Drug Enforcement Administration. Controlled Substance Schedules. www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/ schedules. Accessed August 3, 2017.
- 31. Drug Enforcement Administration Office of Diversion Control. Ketamine. Drug & Chemical Evaluation Section. www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/drug\_chem\_info/ketamine. Published 2013. Accessed August 3, 2017.
- 32. Sleigh J, Harvey M, Voss L, Denny B. Ketamine More mechanisms of action than just NMDA blockade. *Trends Anaesth Crit Care*. 2014;4(2):76-81.
- 33. Duman RS, Li N, Liu R-J, Duric V, Aghajanian G. Signaling Pathways Underlying the Rapid Antidepressant Actions of Ketamine. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012;62(1):35-41.
- 34. Murrough JW, Iosifescu DV, Chang LC, et al. Antidepressant efficacy of ketamine in treatment-resistant major depression: a two-site randomized controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170(10):1134-1142.
- 35. Berman RM, Cappiello A, Anand A, et al. Antidepressant effects of ketamine in depressed patients. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2000;47(4):351-354.
- 36. Zarate CA, Singh JB, Carlson PJ, et al. A randomized trial of an N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist in treatmentresistant major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2006;63(8):856-864.
- 37. Lapidus KAB, Levitch CF, Perez AM, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Intranasal Ketamine in Major Depressive Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2014;76(12):970-976.
- 38. Mathew SJ, Murrough JW, aan het Rot M, Collins KA, Reich DL, Charney DS. Riluzole for relapse prevention following intravenous ketamine in treatment-resistant depression: a pilot randomized, placebo-controlled continuation trial. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2010;13(1):71-82.
- Ibrahim L, DiazGranados N, Franco-Chaves J, et al. Course of Improvement in Depressive Symptoms to a Single Intravenous Infusion of Ketamine vs Add-on Riluzole: Results from a 4-Week, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37(6):1526-1533.
- Singh JB, Fedgchin M, Daly EJ, et al. A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Dose-Frequency Study of Intravenous Ketamine in Patients With Treatment-Resistant Depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173(8):816-826.
- 41. aan het Rot M, Collins KA, Murrough JW, et al. Safety and efficacy of repeated-dose intravenous ketamine for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67(2):139-145.
- 42. Murrough JW, Perez AM, Pillemer S, et al. Rapid and longer-term antidepressant effects of repeated ketamine infusions in treatment-resistant major depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;74(4):250-256.
- 43. Diamond PR, Farmery AD, Atkinson S, et al. Ketamine infusions for treatment resistant depression: a series of 28 patients treated weekly or twice weekly in an ECT clinic. *J Psychopharmacol Oxf Engl.* 2014;28(6):536-544.
- 44. Rasmussen KG, Lineberry TW, Galardy CW, et al. Serial infusions of low-dose ketamine for major depression. *J Psychopharmacol Oxf Engl*. 2013;27(5):444-450.
- 45. Ghasemi M, Kazemi MH, Yoosefi A, et al. Rapid antidepressant effects of repeated doses of ketamine compared with electroconvulsive therapy in hospitalized patients with major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014;215(2):355-361.

- 46. Fond G, Loundou A, Rabu C, et al. Ketamine administration in depressive disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychopharmacology*. 2014;231(18):3663-3676.
- 47. McGirr A, Berlim MT, Bond DJ, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of adjunctive ketamine in electroconvulsive therapy: efficacy and tolerability. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2015;62:23-30.
- Hu Y-D, Xiang Y-T, Fang J-X, et al. Single i.v. ketamine augmentation of newly initiated escitalopram for major depression: results from a randomized, placebo-controlled 4-week study. *Psychol Med.* 2016;46(3):623-635.
- 49. Treadway MT, Zald DH. Reconsidering Anhedonia in Depression: Lessons from Translational Neuroscience. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2011;35(3):537-555.
- 50. Lally N, Nugent AC, Luckenbaugh DA, Niciu MJ, Roiser JP, Zarate CA. Neural correlates of change in major depressive disorder anhedonia following open-label ketamine. *J Psychopharmacol Oxf Engl.* 2015;29(5):596-607.
- 51. Cognitive Impairment in Depression. *Psychology Today*. www.psychologytoday.com/blog/ demystifying-psychiatry/201606/cognitive-impairment-in-depression. Accessed September 2, 2017.
- 52. Orzechowska A, Filip M, Gałecki P. Influence of Pharmacotherapy on Cognitive Functions in Depression: A Review of the Literature. *Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res.* 2015;21:3643-3651.
- 53. DiazGranados N, Ibrahim LA, Brutsche NE, et al. Rapid resolution of suicidal ideation after a single infusion of an N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist in patients with treatment-resistant major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;71(12):1605-1611.
- 54. Price RB, Iosifescu DV, Murrough JW, et al. Effects of ketamine on explicit and implicit suicidal cognition: a randomized controlled trial in treatment-resistant depression. *Depress Anxiety*. 2014;31(4):335-343.
- 55. Irwin SA, Iglewicz A, Nelesen RA, et al. Daily oral ketamine for the treatment of depression and anxiety in patients receiving hospice care: a 28-day open-label proof-of-concept trial. *J Palliat Med.* 2013;16(8):958-965.
- 56. Kudoh A, Takahira Y, Katagai H, Takazawa T. Small-dose ketamine improves the postoperative state of depressed patients. *Anesth Analg.* 2002;95(1):114-118.
- 57. Wan L-B, Levitch CF, Perez AM, et al. Ketamine safety and tolerability in clinical trials for treatment-resistant depression. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015;76(3):247-252.
- Niesters M, Martini C, Dahan A. Ketamine for chronic pain: risks and benefits. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2014;77(2):357-367.
- 59. Ketamine Advocacy Network. How Much Does Ketamine Therapy Cost? www.ketamineadvocacynetwork.org/cost/. Accessed August 17, 2017.
- Anesthesiology News. Anesthesiologists Take Lead As Ketamine Clinics Proliferate. www.anesthesiologynews.com/PRN-/Article/12-15/Anesthesiologists-Take-Lead-As-Ketamine-Clinics-Proliferate/34407/ses=ogst?ses=ogst. Accessed September 2, 2017.
- 61. Davis L, Uezato A, Newell JM, Frazier E. Major depression and comorbid substance use disorders. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2008;21(1):14-18.
- 62. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Facing Addiction in America. The Surgeon General's Report on Alcohol, Drugs, and Health. https://addiction.surgeongeneral.gov/. Published 2016. Accessed September 2, 2017.
- 63. Quello SB, Brady KT, Sonne SC. Mood Disorders and Substance Use Disorder: A Complex Comorbidity. *Sci Pract Perspect*. 2005;3(1):13-21.
- 64. Kalsi SS, Wood DM, Dargan PI. The epidemiology and patterns of acute and chronic toxicity associated with recreational ketamine use. *Emerg Health Threats J.* 2011;4.

# Appendices

Appendix A: Montgomery-Asberg Depression Scale (MADRS)					
Overview	Scoring	<b>Response &amp; Remission</b>			
<ul> <li>Severity assessment tool</li> <li>Format: self-rated or clinician rated</li> <li>Versions: 10-item</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Symptom absent = 0-6</li> <li>Mild depression = 7-19</li> <li>Moderate depression = 20-34</li> <li>Severe depression = 35-60</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Response = 50% reduction</li> <li>Remission = ≤ 10 (varies)</li> </ul>			

AJ	Appendix B: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D)					
Overview		Scoring		<b>Response &amp; Remission</b>		
٠	Severity assessment tool	21-item:	21-	-item:		
٠	Format: clinician rated	• Normal = $0-7$	٠	Response = $50\%$ reduction		
•	Versions: 17-item and	• Mild depression = 8-13	٠	$Remission = \le 7$		
	21-item	• Moderate depression = 14-18				
		• Severe depression = 19-22				
		• Very severe depression $= \ge 23$				

Appendix C: Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)					
Overview		Scoring	Response & Remission		
Severity assessment tool		21-item:	21-item:		
• Format: self-rated		• Minimal depression = 0-13	• Response = 50% reduction		
• Versions: 13-item or 21-		• Mild depression = 14-19	• Remission = $\leq 12$ (varies)		
item		• Moderate depression = 20-28			
		• Severe depression = 29-63			

Appendix D: Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS)				
Overview	Scoring	<b>Response &amp; Remission</b>		
<ul> <li>Severity assessment tool</li> <li>Format: self-rated and clinician rated</li> <li>Versions: 16-item</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>16-item:</li> <li>No depression = 0-5</li> <li>Mild depression = 6-10</li> <li>Moderate depression = 11-15</li> <li>Severe depression = 16-20</li> <li>Very severe depression = 21-27</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Response = 50% reduction</li> <li>Remission = ≤ 5</li> </ul>		